```
1
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
4
    NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS,
 5
                  Plaintiff,
 6
                                    ) Case No.
             vs.
                                      3:23-cv-03943-JD
 7
    ELI LILLY & CO.,
 8
                  Defendant.
 9
10
11
12
13
14
                      HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15
                REMOTE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
16
                   30(b)(6) REPRESENTATIVE OF
17
                      NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS,
18
                          JOHN CUMMINGS
19
                      TUESDAY, MAY 6, 2025
20
21
22
23
24
     Reported in Stenotype by:
     Cody R. Knacke, RMR, CSR No. 13691
25
     Job No.: 982548
```

50 And before that time did employees have the 1 Q. regular Apple Mail app and get their company e-mail 2 that way? 3 4 That's my understanding. Α. 5 And do you recall whether Microsoft Teams Q. has been installed on company-issued mobile devices? 6 7 I believe it has. Α. 8 At one point in time in the past, Nektar 0. 9 used Skype as a chat platform; is that right? 10 Α. That is correct. 11 And Skype enabled users to chat using the Q. 12 program; is that right? That's correct. 13 Α. Skype also included the ability to conduct 14 Q. 15 videoconferencing and audio calls; is that right? Α. Correct. 16 17 Ο. At some point, Nektar stopped using Skype; is that right? 18 19 Α. That is also correct. And when was that? 20 Q. 21 July 2021. Α. 22 MS. BONK: We can take down this exhibit 23 and put up Tab 4, please. 24 MR. BATTER: And while that's coming up, I 25 would just like to check in with the witness,

30(b)(6), Highly Confidential

		70
1	to Person)."	
2	Is that right?	
3	A. That's what the slide says, yes.	
4	Q. So was it your understanding when you	
5	worked on this presentation in 2021 in the rollout	
6	of Teams that Teams chats would be deleted within	
7	24 hours?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. Is it accurate to say that when Nektar	
L 0	first implemented Microsoft Teams, Nektar made a	
l1 =	decision to implement this 24-hour deletion policy?	
12	A. It was actually carried over from Skype.	
13	Q. When Nektar installed Microsoft Teams, that	
L4	was a new software, right, different than Skype?	
15	A. Yes.	
16	Q. And so when Microsoft Teams was set up, the	
L7	retention period was something that also needed to	
18	be set up anew in Microsoft Teams; is that right?	
19	MR. BATTER: Objection.	
20	THE WITNESS: Correct.	
21	BY MS. BONK:	
22	Q. Who made the decision at Nektar that the	
23	retention period for Microsoft Teams would be	
24	24 hours?	
25	A. It was my recommendation to my supervisor,	
	7	

	ELI LILLY & CO.	30(b)(6), Highly Confidential	∕lay 06, 202
			71
1 =	who approved it.		
2	Q. And why did	you make that recommendation?	
3	A. Nektar's lo	ongstanding business practice in	
4	Skype carried throu	igh to Teams, is that chats are	
5	typically short com	munications of an administrative	9
6	nature between empl	oyees.	
7	Q. Before, you	stated that it was usually	
8	legal who made the	decision as to how long certain	
9	data and documents	would be retained, and then you	
10	would then go imple	ement those recommendations from	
11	legal; is that righ	nt?	
12	MR. BATTER:	Objection.	
13	THE WITNESS	S: Yes. And I just said that I	
14	made the recommenda	ation, and it was approved.	
15	BY MS. BONK:		
16	Q. When you sa	y "it was approved," you mean	
17	that it was approve	ed by legal?	
18	A. It was appr	coved by my supervisor.	
19	Q. And who was	s your supervisor?	
20	A. Gil Labruch	nerie.	
21	Q. At that tim	ne, what was his role?	
22	A. Chief finan	ncial	
23	MR. BATTER:	Objection.	
24	THE WITNESS	G: Chief financial officer.	

25

```
178
     time.
 1
                          I do have some questions for
 2
             MR. BATTER:
     you, Mr. Cummings, but it shouldn't be more than
 3
 4
     five to ten minutes.
                            Okay?
 5
             THE WITNESS:
                           Understood.
                          So with that, I'll dive right
 6
             MR. BATTER:
 7
     in.
                          EXAMINATION
 8
 9
     BY MR. BATTER:
10
             In your observation, what is Nektar's
     typical practice for the use of Teams chats?
11
12
        Α.
             Teams chats --
13
             MS. BONK: Objection.
14
             THE WITNESS: -- are used for procedural
15
     and administrative items, typically short duration
16
     between employees.
17
     BY MR. BATTER:
             And can you give me a few examples of the
18
        Q.
19
     types of Teams chats you would expect to see?
20
                    They vary from, can you join our
        Α.
21
     meeting, are you available for a call, to look at
22
     this document, where should I place this document,
     things like that to facilitate the storage of
23
     documents and other things.
24
             And I don't see this in the realtime, but
25
        Ο.
```

Lexitas

Page 7 of 16 John Cummings May 06, 2025

		180
1	BY MR. BATTER:	
2	Q. What tools or software are used for the	
3	substantive work that Nektar performs?	
4	A. It would be our	
5	MS. BONK: Objection.	
6	THE WITNESS: Office documents such as	
7	Word, Excel, PowerPoint, as well as our line of	
8	business applications such as our financial systems,	
9	our drug safety systems, and systems of those	
10	natures.	
11 =	BY MR. BATTER:	
12	Q. And what is the retention policy at Nektar	
13	for all of those materials that Nektar uses for its	
14	substantive work?	
15	A. Indefinitely.	
16	Q. Indefinitely meaning those materials are	
17	maintained forever?	
18	A. Forever. Maintained forever, yes, thank	
19	you.	
20	Q. Can an individual employee at Nektar decide	
21	what e-mails to keep or permanently delete?	
22	MS. BONK: Objection.	
23	THE WITNESS: No.	
24	BY MR. BATTER:	
25	Q. And you say no. Why is that?	

Lexitas

30(b)(6), Highly Confidential

		181
1	A. Because of the settings we discussed	
2	earlier, we have configured Microsoft Exchange to	
3	retain all e-mails, even if a user believes they've	
4	deleted it.	
5	Q. Did Nektar's IT department need to take any	
6	action to maintain e-mails and documents related to	
7 =	this dispute, or was it automatic, given that such	
8	materials are maintained forever?	
9	MS. BONK: Objection.	
10	THE WITNESS: Automatic.	
11	BY MR. BATTER:	
12	Q. How many Nektar document custodians are	
13	there in this case?	
14	A. Could you clarify? Currently employed by	
15	Nektar?	
16	Q. Yeah, let me clarify that.	
17	So the parties agreed that Nektar would	
18	select excuse me. Let me restart that.	
19	The parties agreed that Nektar would	
20	produce e-mails and documents for certain custodians	
21	in this case.	
22	Do you know the total number of custodians?	
23	A. Yes. There's seven custodians.	
24	Q. Did you say seven or 17?	
25	A. No. Seven, seven. 17 was the original	

	183
1	BY MR. BATTER:
2	Q. So you've identified four employees there.
3	A. Correct. A total of four.
4	Q. So that leaves just seven of the 17
5 =	custodians who use Teams chats?
6	A. Correct.
7 =	Q. And those custodians I mean, you have
8	them in your notes, Mr. Cummings, but is it correct,
9	those seven custodians are Charleen Jue, Jonathan
10	Zalevsky, Danni Yu, Yi Liu, Christie Fanton, Ken
11	Franke, and Lorin Sasaki?
12	MS. BONK: Objection.
13	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
14	BY MR. BATTER:
15	Q. In August 2023 did Nektar's general
16	counsel, Mark Wilson, give an instruction that
17	employees should not chat about the lawsuit or
18	Nektar/Lilly relationship?
19	MS. BONK: Objection.
20	THE WITNESS: Correct.
21	BY MR. BATTER:
22	Q. Were employees given an option to abide by
23	that instruction or was it mandatory?
24	MS. BONK: Objection.
25	THE WITNESS: It was mandatory.

BY MR. BATTER:

1

- 2 Of the seven Nektar custodians in this
- action who do use Teams chats, who attended that 3
- 4 meeting and received Mr. Wilson's instruction?
- 5 There were four people who attended that Α.
- meeting and received his instruction. 6
- 7 So four of the seven custodians in this Q.
- 8 action received Mr. Wilson's instruction?
- 9 Α. Yes.
- MS. BONK: 10 Objection.
- 11 BY MR. BATTER:
- 12 And can you identify those four individuals 0.
- for me? 13
- 14 Α. Christie Fanton, Ken Franke, Charleen
- 15 Jue, and Jonathan Zalevsky.
- Have you taken steps to assess whether they 16 Q.
- followed Mr. Wilson's instruction not to use Teams 17
- chats to discuss the lawsuit or the Nektar/Lilly 18
- 19 relationship?
- 20 MS. BONK: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: 21 Yes.
- 22 BY MR. BATTER:
- What steps did you take? 23 Q.
- Yes, we collected e-mails captured -- chats 24 Α.
- captured in e-mails from 2022 to the present, as 25

- 185 1 well as e-mail chains from March to the present. 2 Did you also talk with each of these four 3 individuals about whether they received that 4 instruction? 5 Α. I did. Objection. 6 MS. BONK: 7 BY MR. BATTER: 8 Q. And what did each of those four individuals 9 convey to you about whether they received that 10 instruction? They conveyed that they did receive that 11 Α. instruction. 12 And did each of those four individuals 13 0. 14 convey to you anything about whether they followed that instruction? 15 16 Α. Yes. MS. BONK: Objection. 17 BY MR. BATTER: 18
- 19 Q. What did they convey to you about whether
- they followed that instruction? 20
- They conveyed that they did, indeed, 21 Α.
- receive and follow that instruction. 22
- Regarding all seven of the custodians who 23 Q.
- used Teams chats, so not just the four who received 24
- Mr. Wilson's instruction, have you taken steps to 25

186 confirm how those seven custodians used Teams chats? 1 2 Α. Yes. Objection. 3 MS. BONK: 4 BY MR. BATTER: 5 What did you do? Q. I either spoke with them personally or 6 conversed with them via e-mail to confirm their use 7 of Teams chats as related to this matter. 8 9 Q. And what did each of those seven custodians 10 tell you about their usage or their practice of using Teams chats? 11 12 MS. BONK: Objection. 13 THE WITNESS: They all -- they all 14 communicated to me that the Teams chats were not 15 used to discuss the lawsuit or Lilly's performance 16 under the obligations of the agreement. BY MR. BATTER: 17 Now, you mentioned a moment ago, 18 Mr. Cummings, that you also collected and reviewed 19 chats that were embedded in e-mails from 2022 20 onward; is that correct? 21 22 Α. Correct. As well as Teams chats full chains from 23 Q. earlier this year onward; is that correct? 24 Objection. 25 MS. BONK:

ELI LILLY & CO. May 06, 2025 187 1 THE WITNESS: Correct. 2 BY MR. BATTER: 3 And did you have an opportunity to look 0. 4 through those materials? 5 Α. I did. And what did those Team chats reflect as to 6 7 whether employees are following the directive not to 8 discuss the Nektar/Lilly relationship and the 9 lawsuit? 10 MS. BONK: Objection. THE WITNESS: They confirmed -- they 11 confirmed that. 12 BY MR. BATTER: 13 14 Q. "They" being the documents? 15 Α. Yes, the content of the chats. I'd like to briefly show you Exhibit 1478. 16 Q. MR. BATTER: Ken, if you don't mind sharing 17 that on your screen. 18 BY MR. BATTER: 19 Do you recall, Mr. Cummings, that Lilly's 20 counsel asked you questions about the scope of what 21 22 this document preservation notice covers? 23 Α. Yes. 24 And do you see in the second paragraph, as far as what materials should be maintained, the 25

	188
1 =	language used is, quote, "related" excuse me.
2	Let me start that over.
3	And you see in the second paragraph as far
4	as what materials should be preserved, the language
5	is those documents, quote, "relating to the
6	Dispute."
7	A. Correct. I do see that.
8	Q. Does this preservation notice require that
9	Nektar employees preserve all documents, regardless
10	of subject matter?
11	A. It does not.
12	Q. Does this notice require only that Nektar
13	employees preserve documents to the extent they are,
14	quote, "relating to the Dispute"?
15	A. It does.
16	Q. And are the Teams chats that you reviewed
17	that we discussed a moment ago, are those related to
18	this dispute?
19	MS. BONK: Objection.
20	THE WITNESS: Not based on my review.
21	MR. BATTER: No further questions.
22	MS. BONK: I have a couple more.
23	FURTHER EXAMINATION
24	BY MS. BONK:
25	Q. Mr. Cummings, you testified earlier today

Lexitas

30(b)(6), Highly Confidential

```
1
     COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,
     STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
 2
 3
              I, Cody R. Knacke, Registered Merit
 4
 5
     Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for
     the State of California, License No. 13691, hereby
 6
 7
     certify that the deponent was by me first duly sworn
     and the foregoing testimony was reported by me and
 8
 9
     was thereafter transcribed with computer-aided
10
     transcription; that the foregoing is a full,
     complete, and true record of said proceedings.
11
12
              I further certify that I am not of counsel
13
     or attorney for either or any of the parties in the
14
     foregoing proceedings and caption named or in any
15
     way interested in the outcome of the cause in said
16
     caption.
             The dismantling, unsealing, or unbinding of
17
     the original transcript will render the reporter's
18
     certificate null and void.
19
             In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my
20
2.1
     hand this day: May 6, 2025.
22
23
24
                   CODY R. KNACKE, RMR, CSR No. 13691
25
```